

## REMARKS

In the Office Action dated January 23, 2003 the Examiner alleges that Applicant's Response filed on October 21, 2002 was not fully responsive to the Restriction Requirement dated October 4, 2002. Specifically, the Examiner asserts that the Response was not fully responsive because Applicant failed "to elect a single enhanced combination of formula's IV and I or III. Applicant improperly elected IV, I and III." Applicant responds as follows.

Applicant regrets any misunderstanding. Applicant understood the Examiners requirement to "elect a single enhanced combination of one compound of formulas I, III and /or IV for examination on the merits" (see paper 3, page 2) to permit provision of a single species for each of the formulas I, III, and IV.

In response to the Examiner's instant requirement, applicant provisionally elects, with traverse, the combination of formulas IV and I.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned patent agent at (713) 787-1589 with any questions, comments or suggestions relating to the referenced patent application.

Respectfully submitted,



Matthew L. Madsen  
Reg. No. 45,594  
Agent for Applicant

HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP  
750 Bering Drive  
Houston, Texas 77057-2198  
(713) 787-1400

Date: January 29, 2003